

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/722,199 11/25/2003		Dwayne Nelson	29757/P-262A	6785	
4743 M A D S LI A I I	7590 02/21/2007 CEDSTEIN & BODIN I I	EXAMINER '			
MARSHALL, GERSTEIN & BORUN LLP 233 S. WACKER DRIVE, SUITE 6300 SEARS TOWER CHICAGO, IL 60606			HARPER, TRAMAR YONG		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ementoo, iz	7 00000		3714		
		·			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/21/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

M

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	10/722,199	NELSON, DWAYNE		
ľ	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Tramar Harper	3714		

	Tramar Harper	3714					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>02 January 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
. Mathematical The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
	a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp</li> </ol>	pliance with 37 CER 41 37 must be	filed within two month	ns of the date of				
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th					
AMENDMENTS  The respected amondment(s) filed offer a final rejection.	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause				
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further co</li> </ol>			ecause				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		, ,					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	empliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	☐ will not be entered or b) ☒ wi	II he entered and an	explanation of				
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		n bo omorou una un c	sapiana.ion o				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>91-113</u> .							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>97-775</u> .  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and applications of the second secon	ut before or on the date of filing a N id sufficient reasons why the affidat	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and				
was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).  9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing	a Nation of Annual but prior to the	date of filing a brief	will not be				
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
See Continuation Sheet.  12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
13. Other:							

DSL 2/14/07

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Acres discloses a system that is capable of changing configuration parameters in gaming machines respective of time. The configuration parameters comprise of parameters such as game speed, payback percentage, or game appearance. Acres discloses that is desirable to set the cost to a player at a high level during high demean periods and at a lower level at a lower demand period. Acres discloses that it is desirable to vary the wager per unit time, which is the cost to the player, in accordance with the demand on the casino floor (Col. 2:35-55). Acres does not explicitly disclose the changing the denomination/coin-in amount or minimum wager amount respective of time, but Acres does implicitly disclose varying/changing the cost to the player respective of time as noted above. Although the citation discloses the phrase "desirable" it is only to put emphasis on the advantage of the disclosed art e.g. the desire is achieved by the dislosed art. Burns puts emphasis on the above, and further adds on that it is desirable to change the minimum wager during certain time periods, but is difficult to achieve. Burns discloses EGMs capable having the minimum wager changed at any time during high or low demand periods. Considering that Acres teaches changing configuration parameters respective to time and knowing that increasing the cost to a player during high demand periods (Acres and Burns) increases revenue. One skilled in the art at the time of the invention would be motivated to modify Acres configuration syste to change the coin-in or minimum wager amount respective of time, for purposes above. As such the previous rejection is maintained..

ROBERT OLSZEWSKI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700